## EXHIBIT G

## EXHIBIT G

## **HTC'S CORPORATION'S DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3)(A) and the Eighth Amended Docket Control Order (D.I. 212), Defendant HTC Corporation ("Defendant") hereby serves its affirmative designations of those witnesses whose testimony Defendant may present at trial by means of deposition for issues in which Defendant holds the burden of proof (e.g., invalidity).

Defendant serves these deposition designations subject to and without waiver of its motions in limine, motions to exclude certain evidence, Daubert motions, and challenges to experts. Defendant reserves the right to modify, amend, or supplement these designations in response to rulings by the Court (including on any motions). Defendant also reserves the right to present deposition testimony from any witness designated by Plaintiff for purposes of impeachment or during the examination of its expert witnesses.

<b>DEPOSITION OF ROBE</b>	RT L. MEYERS – DECE	MBER 21, 2017		
HTC's INITIAL DESIGNATIONS	SALAZAR'S Objections	SALAZAR'S COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS	HTC'S OBJECTIONS TO SALAZAR'S COUNTER- DESIGNATIONS	COURT RULING
4:7-14		None	None	
5:11-17				
7:8-23				
8:20 – 9:1				
11:25 – 12:10				
17:12-20				
18:5-14				
22:11-23:5	401/402, S, VA, 602P, 602F			
23:17-19	401/402, S, VA, 602P, 602F			
24:13 – 25:5	401/402, S, VA, 602P, 602F			
31:20-25	401/402, S, VA, 602P, 602F			
32:5-8	401/402, S, VA, 602P, 602F			

DEPOSITION OF JOE A	DEPOSITION OF JOE ANDREW SALAZAR – DECEMBER 20, 2017			
HTC'S INITIAL DESIGNATIONS	SALAZAR'S Objections	SALAZAR'S COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS	HTC'S OBJECTIONS TO SALAZAR'S COUNTER- DESIGNATIONS	COURT RULING
4:7-12		None	None	
8:6-10				
30:6-7				
30:15-18	LC			
30:20-23	LC			
30:25-31:4	LC			
42:6-7	602F, VA, 701, 702			
42:9	602F, VA, 701, 702			
43:5-6	602F, VA, 701, 702			
43:8-11	602F, VA, 701, 702			
55:2-3	602F, VA, 701, 702			
55:5-6	602F, VA, 701, 702			
62:19-63:2	602F, VA, 701, 702			
63:10-11	602F, VA, 701, 702			
63:14	602F, VA, 701, 702			
74:15-19	602F, VA, 701, 702			
74:23-75:10	602F, VA, 701, 702			
75:15-21	602F, VA, 701, 702			
94:12-15	602F, VA, 701, 702			
95:8-11	602F, VA, 701, 702			
95:20-96:18	602F, VA, 701, 702			
97:3-5	602F, VA, 701, 702			
97:7-10	LC			
100:10-17	LC			
100:21-24				
101:17-22				
110:24-111:2	LC, MIS, H, 602P, 602F			

DEPOSITION OF JOE ANDREW SALAZAR – DECEMBER 20, 2017				
HTC'S INITIAL DESIGNATIONS	SALAZAR'S OBJECTIONS	SALAZAR'S COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS	HTC'S OBJECTIONS TO SALAZAR'S COUNTER- DESIGNATIONS	COURT RULING
111:4-8	LC, MIS, H, 602P, 602F, PRIV			
111:11-20	LC, MIS, H, 602P, 602F, PRIV			
112:2-4	LC, MIS, H, 602P, 602F, PRIV			
112:6-7	LC, MIS, H, 602P, 602F, PRIV			

DEPOSITION OF SIVASUBRAMANIAN MUTHUKUMARASAMY – JANUARY 8, 2018				
SALAZAR'S Initial Designations	HTC'S Objections	HTC'S COUNTER DESIGNATIONS	SALAZAR'S OBJECTIONS TO SALAZAR'S COUNTER- DESIGNATIONS	COURT RULING
		8:14-12:25	401/402, 602F, 602P, VA	
		13:20-18:8	401/402, 602F, 602P,	
			VA, LC	
		20:5-7		
		20:11-13		
		20:20-23		
		27:2-3		
		27:5-20		
		28:5-11		
		28:13-16		

KEY	SALAZAR'S OBJECTIONS TO HTC'S REBUTTAL DEPOSITIO DESIGNATIONS		
106	Optional completeness required to put statement in proper context		
401/402	Lacks relevance		
403P	Prejudice outweighs relevance		
403M	Evidence could mislead jury or confuse issues		
403C	Cumulative evidence		
408	Settlement communications		
602F	Lacks proper foundation		
602P	Witness lacks personal knowledge		
701/702	Improper or unreliable opinion testimony		
703	Inadmissible evidence disclosed as basis for expert opinion		
802	Hearsay		
805	Hearsay within hearsay		
901	Lacks authenticity		
MIL	Subject to motion in limine		
ARG	Scope/Form: Agrumentative		
AF	Scope/Form: Assumes facts not in evidence		
COM	Scope/Form: Compound		
MIS	Scope/Form: Misquoting/mischaracterizing prior testimony		
NAR	Scope/Form: Narrative		
NR	Scope/Form: Non-responsive		
LQ	Scope/Form: Leading question		
S	Scope/Form: Speculation		
LC	Scope/Form: Legal conclusion		
PRIV	Scope/Form: Privileged		
R	Scope/Form: Repetitive/asked and answered		
IP	Scope/Form: Improper Impeachment		
VA	Scope/Form: Vague/Ambiguous		
ATTNY	Attorney objections, side-bar remarks or argument not removed		
PER	Testimony by 30(b)(6) designee outside scope of noticed topics		